

SUSTAINABLE STRATEGIES IN THE 4PS: EXPLORING GREEN MARKETING PRACTICES OF LEADING COMPANIES

Nishi Tuli

Associate Professor

Department of Commerce, Ch. Ishwar Singh Kanya Mahavidyalaya Dhand-Dadwana,

Kaithal, Haryana, India

Email-id: nishituli68@gmail.com

Abstract : Businesses all across the world are implementing green marketing techniques in response to more stringent laws, changing consumer expectations, and growing environmental concerns. This theoretical study examines how businesses are integrating sustainability into the conventional marketing mix—Product, Price, Place, and Promotion—in order to integrate their operations with environmental standards. Using case studies and examples from well-known businesses, the study shows how green practices are being applied in product design, environmentally-value-based pricing strategies, sustainable distribution methods, and open, environmentally conscious advertising campaigns. The study looks at how green marketing has evolved from being a niche notion to a strategic business imperative.

Keywords : - Green marketing, Eco-labeling, Sustainable packaging, Product modification, environmentally safe production, Green advertising, Ecological responsibility, Corporate sustainability

1.0 Introduction

Sustainable environmental practices have become a top priority for governments, corporations, and consumers in recent decades. According to Peattie & Crane (2005), there is a pressing need for responsible environmental behaviour in all spheres of society due to the acceleration of changes in the climate, excessive resource consumption, growing levels of pollutants, and loss of biodiversity.

Green marketing is the process that involves developing and promoting goods and services that are considered to be safe for the environment. It encompasses a wide range of actions, including eco-labeling, sustainable packaging, product modification, environment safe production procedures and environmentally conscious advertising.

The importance of green marketing in the present era is driven by a confluence of ecological, regulatory, and consumer-centric factors. According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2023), in order to decrease carbon footprints and encourage sustainable consumption, immediate action is needed as global temperatures continue to rise. Companies' environmental effects are becoming more and more of a concern, particularly in high-emission industries like manufacturing, shipping, and agribusiness (Delmas & Burbano, 2011).

Stricter environmental regulations have been established by countries and international organisations to ensure business responsibility. Legal frameworks are influencing corporate behaviour through regulations like India's Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for packaging waste, the European Union's Green Deal, and the Carbon Disclosure Project. Businesses who fail to meet up to these expectations face legal repercussions, loss to public image, or dwindling investor trust.

Customers' desire for sustainable and environmentally friendly products has significantly increased. Modern consumers, especially Millennials and Generation Z, are increasingly environmentally conscious, according to studies, and are prepared to pay more for environmentally friendly products if they believe the brand to be genuine.

Given this multifaceted significance, a theoretical examination of corporate's green marketing initiatives is crucial. Understanding the strategies adopted by firms helps academics and practitioners assess how sustainability is being integrated into marketing at a conceptual level. Businesses need to implement authentic and integrated green marketing strategies, as environmental issues are gaining urgency these days. It is essential to investigate the issue from a theoretical perspective in order to comprehend how companies might sustainably and socially responsibly balance their financial objectives with the welfare of the entire world.

2.0 Literature Review

Over the past few decades, the idea of "green marketing" has changed significantly, transforming from a niche to a mainstream business strategy. In the beginning, corporations paid little attention to environmental issues since they were viewed as externalities. However, growing ecological degradation, resource scarcity, and increasing stakeholder pressure have led to a paradigm shift in corporate behavior. Green practices are increasingly being adopted by businesses as an integral component of their strategic marketing approach as well as a compliance tool (Polonsky, 1994; Peattie & Crane, 2005).

The origins of green marketing can be found in the late 1980s and early 1990s, when the "green consumer" emerged as a result of growing environmental consciousness (Ottman, 1993). Green marketing was formally defined at this time by the American Marketing Association (AMA) as the promotion of goods that are thought to be ecologically safe. Product qualities like non-toxicity, biodegradability, and recyclability were the main focus.

Early green marketing initiatives were often limited in scope and they are criticized for superficial commitments or "greenwashing"—where companies exaggerated or misrepresented their environmental practices (Delmas & Burbano, 2011).

The concept of green marketing has expanded over time to cover a greater range of business practices, such as carbon offsetting, minimising waste, conservation of energy, environmentally friendly procurement, green supply chains, and corporate social obligation (CSR). This change is demonstrated by the growing number of businesses that are integrating sustainability into the "4 Ps" of marketing—product, pricing, place, and promotion—in a manner that aligns with long-term social and environmental objectives (Leonidou et al, 2013).

Green techniques are being adopted more widely throughout industries, according to a number of empirical research. Businesses in the manufacturing industry are adopting green certifications like ISO 14001, renewable energy consumption, and sustainable production techniques to show their commitment to the environment (Testa et al, 2015). According to Joy et al. (2012), companies like as Patagonia and Stella McCartney have emerged as models of green innovation in the fashion and clothing sector, which is frequently criticised for its unsustainable methods. This is because they have included recycled materials and promoted circular economy models. Technology businesses like Apple and Dell have implemented energy-efficient designs and take-back programs to meet global sustainability goals (Yadav & Pathak, 2016).

Moreover, theoretical frameworks have developed to more fully explain the driving forces underlying corporate green initiatives. According to Freeman's (1984) Stakeholder Theory, businesses that feel a lot of pressure or influence from stakeholders including investors, customers, NGOs, and regulators are more likely to implement sustainable marketing strategies. Further explaining how green capabilities—like eco-design knowledge, green technology, and sustainability-focused innovation—can provide sources of sustained competitive advantage is the Resource-Based View of the enterprise (Barney, 1991).

The importance of institutional and cultural elements in influencing green marketing strategies is also highlighted in the literature. The adoption of green marketing tactics is frequently impacted by a company's national setting, cultural values, and degree of environmental legislation, according to Dangelico & Vocalelli (2017).

Another emerging theme in green marketing literature is the role of digital technology and social media in facilitating transparency and stakeholder engagement. Social media platforms enable businesses to communicate with environmentally concerned customers, get real-time feedback, and highlight their sustainability initiatives. The use of digital green advertising by businesses to reach younger, eco-conscious consumers is growing, according to research by Kumar et al, (2019).

Despite the growth in green marketing practices, challenges remain. Many companies struggle to balance the

cost of implementing green initiatives with financial performance expectations, especially in highly competitive markets (Chen, 2010). Moreover, consumer skepticism regarding the credibility of green claims persists.

3.0 Objectives Of The Study

The objective of this study is to explore and analyze how companies are incorporating green marketing practices within the traditional framework of the marketing mix.

4.0 Findings Of The Study

In recent years, green marketing has emerged as a pivotal strategy for companies seeking to align with environmental values, and many global corporations have started embedding sustainability into the core of their marketing mix—Product, Price, Place, and Promotion.

One of the most well-known examples is Patagonia, a company known for creating long-lasting, high-quality outdoor gear. It's recycling and repair programs, such as "Worn Wear," show how its product approach can be in line with environmental concerns (Patagonia, 2022). Similar to this, IKEA incorporates sustainability into the design of their products by using materials that are recyclable and renewable in lines like KUNGSBACKA. In order to reduce its carbon footprint, the company uses flat-pack shipping, and it promotes sustainability via marketing programs like "People & Planet Positive" (IKEA, 2023).

Similarly, Tesla has revolutionized the automotive industry by positioning its electric vehicles (EVs) not just as alternatives to fossil-fueled cars, but as aspirational products. Its premium pricing reflects the long-term savings and environmental benefits, while its direct-to-consumer sales model and minimalistic showrooms support sustainable distribution (Tesla, 2023). In the auto industry, Toyota complements Tesla's innovation with hybrids like the Prius and hydrogen-powered models, making green driving more accessible through tiered pricing and green dealership practices. Their "Beyond Zero" campaign reflects a long-term commitment to environmental change (Toyota, 2023).

Meanwhile, The Body Shop champions ethical beauty, offering cruelty-free and vegan products with refill stations in stores, aligning its place and product with sustainable values. Their "Return, Recycle, Repeat" campaign also educates consumers on circular beauty practices (The Body Shop, 2023). Lush Cosmetics has gone even further with their "naked" product line—entirely packaging-free soaps, shampoos, and lotions. By eliminating plastic, they've reduced both product and promotional waste while spreading awareness through bold campaigns (Lush, 2022). In the household segment, Seventh Generation, owned by Unilever, offers plant-based cleaners and prioritizes transparency in labeling, further strengthening the promotion aspect of green marketing (Unilever, 2023). Also part of the Unilever family, brands like Dove and Love Beauty and Planet are leveraging eco-friendly formulas and sustainable sourcing, showing how a conglomerate can cascade green values across multiple brands.

In the apparel sector, Nike has made a strong push with its "Move to Zero" initiative, which includes products made from recycled polyester and sustainable cotton. It has adjusted its logistics and warehousing to be more eco-efficient, and markets this transformation aggressively to its target audience (Nike, 2023). Its rival, Adidas, also impresses with innovations like the "Parley for the Oceans" collection, made from plastic waste retrieved from beaches and coastal areas. Adidas has incorporated sustainable thinking not only in products but also in their collaborations and influencer-based promotions (Adidas, 2022).

Also, H&M's Conscious Collection uses organic and recycled fabrics, and is priced to remain accessible while still marketing its green efforts through labels and recycling bins placed in stores worldwide (H&M, 2023). Levi's continues this trend with Water<Less® jeans, which use significantly less water during production. The company educates consumers on sustainability through repair guides and take-back schemes, connecting product and promotion seamlessly (Levi Strauss & Co., 2022).

Starbucks represents the food and beverage sector, promoting ethically sourced coffee under its Coffee and Farmer Equity (C.A.F.E.) Practices. Their shift toward plant-based options and reusable cup incentives highlight green product and pricing choices, while promotional campaigns around sustainability have become part of their global messaging (Starbucks, 2023).

Apple, although criticized in the past, has significantly improved its environmental efforts by producing devices with recycled aluminum and using low-energy chips. Its distribution chain is gradually shifting toward carbon neutrality, and it frequently highlights these achievements during its promotional events (Apple, 2022). Google

is another tech leader focused on sustainability, particularly through its carbon-neutral cloud infrastructure and efficient data centers. Their smart devices like Nest Thermostats are marketed as eco-friendly solutions for everyday living, and the pricing remains accessible to ensure wide adoption (Google Sustainability, 2023).

On the consumer goods front, Coca-Cola has made strides by introducing recycled PET bottles and pledging to recover a bottle for every one sold by 2030 through the “World Without Waste” initiative. Despite offering the same price, it has innovated in packaging and supply chains to align with green distribution practices (Coca-Cola, 2023). Similarly, PepsiCo has embraced a circular economy through products like SodaStream, which reduces single-use plastic. Their green factories and water stewardship programs add credibility to their sustainability messaging (PepsiCo, 2023). Nestlé has joined the movement with products like the paper-packaged KitKat and investments in renewable energy for manufacturing. Their environmental storytelling is featured in promotional materials, supporting brand trust (Nestlé, 2023).

Dell Technologies provides a notable example from the hardware industry, with laptops and PCs that use closed-loop recycled plastics. These products are competitively priced and shipped via carbon-neutral logistics, with transparent environmental disclosures to attract eco-conscious buyers (Dell, 2022).

Amazon, often scrutinized for its environmental impact, has launched “Shipment Zero,” aiming to make half of its shipments carbon neutral. Their electric delivery vans and the Climate Pledge Friendly badge help consumers identify greener options, merging place and promotion effectively.

Together, these companies demonstrate how sustainability can be holistically incorporated into marketing. Whether it’s through offering environmentally friendly products, pricing them responsibly, distributing them via ethical and low-carbon channels, or promoting them with transparent messaging, green marketing has become more than a trend—it is a strategic necessity. The examples of Patagonia, Tesla, Starbucks, H&M, and others show that across industries, firms are increasingly treating environmental stewardship as both a responsibility and a brand asset, shaping a new standard for marketing in the 21st century.

5.0 Conclusion

In an era defined by climate urgency, shifting consumer values, and stricter environmental regulations, green marketing is no longer a peripheral concern—it is central to corporate strategy and brand identity. In this paper, we have examined how businesses in various industries are implementing green marketing initiatives using the traditional 4Ps framework: Product, Price, Place, and Promotion. Successful green marketing necessitates a holistic approach, where sustainability is integrated into every facet of marketing rather than being viewed as a promotional gimmick, which is demonstrated by the case studies of top international corporations. As stakeholders—from governments to consumers—increasingly demand environmental accountability, the role of green marketing will only intensify, paving the way for a more responsible and resilient global economy.

6.0 References

- i. Adidas. (2022). *Parley for the oceans*. <https://www.adidas.com/us/sustainability>
- ii. Amazon. (n.d.). *Shipment Zero*. <https://www.aboutamazon.com/sustainability>
- iii. Apple. (2022). *Environmental progress report*. <https://www.apple.com/environment/>
- iv. Banerjee, S. B., Gulas, C. S., & Iyer, E. (1995). Shades of green: A multidimensional analysis of environmental advertising. *Journal of Advertising*, 24(2), 21–31.
- v. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
- vi. Chen, Y. S. (2010). The drivers of green brand equity: Green brand image, green satisfaction, and green trust. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 93(2), 307–319.
- vii. Coca-Cola. (2023). *World without waste*. <https://www.coca-colacompany.com/sustainability/world-without-waste>.
- viii. Dangelico, R. M., & Vocalelli, D. (2017). “Green Marketing”: An analysis of definitions, dimensions, and relationships with stakeholders. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 26(4), 457–475.
- ix. Dell Technologies. (2022). *Sustainability and environmental responsibility*. <https://www.dell.com/learn/us/en/uscorp1/corp-comm/environment>
- x. Delmas, M. A., & Burbano, V. C. (2011). The drivers of greenwashing. *California Management Review*, 54(1), 64–87.
- xi. Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.

- xii. Ginsberg, J. M., & Bloom, P. N. (2004). Choosing the right green marketing strategy. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 46(1), 79–84.
- xiii. H&M. (2023). *Conscious collection*. <https://hmgroupp.com/sustainability/>
- xiv. IKEA. (2023). *People & planet positive*. <https://www.ikea.com/gb/en/this-is-ikea/sustainable-everyday/>
- xv. Joy, A., Sherry Jr, J. F., Venkatesh, A., Wang, J., & Chan, R. (2012). Fast fashion, sustainability, and the ethical appeal of luxury brands. *Fashion Theory*, 16(3), 273–295.
- xvi. Kumar, A., Prakash, G., & Kumar, G. (2019). Does environmentally responsible purchase intention matter for consumers? A predictive sustainable model developed through an empirical study. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 50, 186–193.
- xvii. Leonidou, C. N., Katsikeas, C. S., & Morgan, N. A. (2013). Greening the marketing mix: Do greeners lead to greener? *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 4(7), 17–26.
- xviii. Levi Strauss & Co. (2022). *Water<Less®*. <https://www.levistrauss.com/sustainability/waterless-jeans/>
- xix. Menguc, B., & Ozanne, L. K. (2005). Challenges of the “green imperative”: A natural resource-based approach to the environmental orientation–business performance relationship. *Journal of Business Research*, 58(4), 430–438.
- xx. Nestlé. (2023). *Environmental sustainability*. <https://www.nestle.com/csv/impact/environment>
- xxi. Nielsen. (2018). *Sustainable shoppers: Buying the change they wish to see in the world*.
- xxii. Nike. (2023). *Move to zero*. <https://www.nike.com/sustainability>
- xxiii. Ottman, J. A. (1993). *Green marketing: Challenges and opportunities*. NTC Business Books.
- xxiv. Patagonia. (2022). *Worn wear program*. <https://www.patagonia.com/worn-wear/>
- xxv. Peattie, K., & Crane, A. (2005). Green marketing: Legend, myth, farce or prophecy? *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 8(4), 357–370.
- xxvi. PepsiCo. (2023). *Sustainability initiatives*. <https://www.pepsico.com/sustainability>
- xxvii. Polonsky, M. J. (1994). An introduction to green marketing. *Electronic Green Journal*, 1(2).
- xxviii. Starbucks. (2023). *C.A.F.E. practices and sustainability*. <https://www.starbucks.com/responsibility/sourcing/coffee>
- xxix. Tesla. (2023). *Impact report*. <https://www.tesla.com/impact>
- xxx. Testa, F., Iraldo, F., Frey, M., & Daddi, T. (2015). The effect of environmental regulation on firms’ competitive performance: The case of the building & construction sector in some EU regions. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 154, 245–256.
- xxxi. The Body Shop. (2023). *Return, recycle, repeat*. <https://www.thebodyshop.com/en-gb/about-us/values/sustainability>
- xxxii. Toyota. (2023). *Beyond Zero environmental challenge*. https://www.toyota-global.com/sustainability/environment/beyond_zero/
- xxxiii. Unilever. (2023). *Sustainable living brands*. <https://www.unilever.com/planet-and-society/sustainable-living/>
- xxxiv. Yadav, R., & Pathak, G. S. (2016). Young consumers’ intention towards buying green products in a developing nation: Extending the theory of planned behavior. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 135, 732–739.